Texture analysis of collagen second-harmonic generation images based on local difference local binary pattern and wavelets differentiates human skin abnormal scars from normal scars
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Abstract. Quantitative methods for noninvasive diagnosis of scars are a challenging issue in medicine. This work aims to implement a texture analysis method for quantitatively discriminating abnormal scars from normal scars based on second-harmonic generation (SHG) images. A local difference local binary pattern (LD-LBP) operator combined with a wavelet transform was explored to extract diagnosis features from scar SHG images that were related to the alteration in collagen morphology. Based on the quantitative parameters including the homogeneity, directional and coarse features in SHG images, the scar collagen SHG images were classified into normal or abnormal scars by a support vector machine classifier in a leave-one-out cross-validation procedure. Our experiments and data analyses demonstrated apparent differences between normal and abnormal scars in terms of their morphological structure of collagen. By comparing with gray level co-occurrence matrix, wavelet transform, and combined basic local binary pattern and wavelet transform with respect to the accuracy and receiver operating characteristic analysis, the method proposed herein was demonstrated to achieve higher accuracy and more reliable classification of SHG images. This result indicated that the extracted texture features with the proposed method were effective in the classification of scars. It could provide assistance for physicians in the diagnostic process. © 2015 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.20.1.016021]
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1 Introduction

Scars are areas of fibrosis that replace normal skin secondary to traumatic or surgical injuries.1 Broadly, scars are divided into two types: physiologic (normal) and pathologic (abnormal) scars. Normal scars resulting from a balanced production and degradation of collagen show no painful symptoms and no dys- function.2 Abnormal scars, typically including hypertrophic scars and keloids, are histopathologically characterized by excessive deposition and the disordered arrangement of collagen fibers,3 causing esthetical dissatisfaction and even turning cancerous.4 For the variety of collagenous frameworks in scar tissues, the morphological distinctions of collagen in normal scars compared to abnormal scars can help to differentiate types of scars.

Recently, due to many unique advantages such as reduced photobleaching and photodamage as well as high resolution imaging deep into several hundred microns,5,6 second-harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy has emerged as a potential noninvasive tool for imaging collagen in scar tissues.7,8 Many researches relied on SHG microscopy to describe collagen organization using empirical observations that were linked to a pathological condition.9,10 And also, several SHG studies have proposed methodologies for quantifying characteristics of collagen.11–13 Texture analysis can provide a novel way of achieving these ends. A gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) has been already used in the texture analysis of SHG images,14,15 but with the limitation of heavy computation. The local binary pattern (LBP) introduced by Ojala et al.16 is a widely used texture descriptor because of its low computational burden and rotation invariance.17 To overcome the drawbacks of analyzing the local grayscale difference, an improved approach referred to as local difference local binary pattern (LD-LBP), was proposed herein for evaluating the homogeneity feature in SHG images to distinguish collagen distribution in scars. Meanwhile, wavelet transform can capture the directional and coarse features in scar SHG images that quantitatively determine the collagen orientation and coarseness. In this study, we...
combined an LD-LBP operator with a wavelet transform for the texture analysis of scar collagen SHG images. The homogeneity, directional and coarse features of SHG images that are associated with the collagen morphology in scar tissues were simultaneously extracted to differentiate abnormal scars from normal scars. To deal with the classification in the situation of a small example scale, a support vector machine (SVM) classifier was applied using a leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) procedure. In addition, comparisons with other texture analysis methods such as GLCM, wavelet transform, and basic LBP combined with wavelet transform were performed to verify the proposed method.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Tissue Samples

The ex vivo matured human skin scar specimens (30 normal and 30 abnormal scars) were collected during plastic surgery performed on 60 Chinese female patients. The scars were diagnosed based on histological examination by certified pathologists and classified according to the traditional histopathological criteria.4,18 Prior to study participation, all patients signed an informed consent, and this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Fujian Medical University. To protect the tissue samples from metamorphosis, we stored them in liquid nitrogen (−196°C) immediately after they were excised from patients. Prior to SHG imaging, the tissues were sectioned in 140-μm thicknesses and sandwiched between a microscope slide and cover glass. To avoid dehydration or shrinkage during the imaging acquisition, a little phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution was dripped into the tissue specimen.

2.2 Second-Harmonic Generation Microscopy

The SHG imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 META laser scanning microscope coupled to a mode-locked femtosecond Ti: sapphire laser (Coherent Mira 900-F) operating at 810 nm. The sapphire laser (110 fs, 76 MHz) was used as the excitation light source. An oil immersion objective (NA = 1.4) was employed for focusing the excitation beam into tissue samples (average power less than 5 mW) and for collecting the backscattered intrinsic SHG signals. The high-resolution (512 × 512 pixels) image was obtained at 2.56 μs per pixel (total: 1.57 s) with a view field of 230.8 μm × 230.8 μm. In this study, one SHG image was collected from each patient, resulting in a total of 60 scar collagen SHG images (30 normal and 30 abnormal) for analysis.

2.3 Texture Analysis

2.3.1 Homogeneity features extracted by local difference local binary pattern

To characterize the homogeneity features in an image, the local contrast information derived from LD-LBP was used. Given a gray value of central pixel \( g_c \) and its neighbors \( g_p \) (\( p = 0, 1, \ldots, P-1 \), \( P \) is the number of sampling points in a neighborhood), the difference between \( g_c \) and \( g_p \) can be calculated by \( C_p = g_p - g_c \), and the amplitude of \( C_p \) is expressed as \( S_p = |C_p| \). As an example, Fig. 1(a) shows the 3 × 3 neighborhood with central pixel \( g_c \) being 20 and the neighboring pixels \( g_p \) are \([12, 71, 43, 39, 14, 6, 14, 7, 11, 6, 14]\), as shown in Fig. 1(c). Then LD-LBP is defined as

\[
LD-LBP = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} H(S_p - t)2^p,
\]

where \( H(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \) and \( t \) is a threshold to be adaptively determined. Here, we set \( t \) as the mean value of \( S_p \) from the whole image. The LD-LBP operator is defined in a consistent format with that of the basic LBP operator. Similar to the basic LBP, the rotation invariant version of LD-LBP is also defined to achieve rotation invariant classification. Additionally, the LD-LBP uses a rotation invariant variance measure \( VAR \) that characterizes the contrast of local image texture as the representation of homogeneity features

\[
VAR = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} (g_p - w)^2 / P,
\]

where

\[
w = \left( \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} g_p \right) / P.
\]

Low variance (VAR) occurs in images with homogeneous features, otherwise the \( VAR \) is higher.

2.3.2 Directional and coarse features extracted by wavelet transform

Wavelet transform19,20 was utilized to evaluate the directional and coarse features in an image. The wavelet transform decomposes an image into four subimages: low–low (LL), low–high (LH), high–low (HL), and high–high (HH). LL is the low-frequency subimage that contains the main information of the decomposed image. LH, HL, and HH are three high-frequency subimages which are horizontal, vertical, and oblique subimages, respectively. The wavelet energy (\( E \)) was estimated by summing the squares of all coefficients \( p(i, j) \) from each subimage with dimensions \( M \times N \)

\[
E = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |p(i, j)|^2.
\]

The distribution of energy (\( E \)) in each subimage can determine the image directionality. The large energy value represents the main direction of the texture.

For an image with a coarse texture, the energy is mainly concentrated in the low-frequency subimage, while for an image with a thin or complex texture, the energy is mainly

\[
\begin{array}{cccc|ccc|ccc}
(a) & 6 & 14 & 31 & (b) & -14 & -6 & 11 & (c) & 14 & 6 & 11 \\
12 & 20 & 27 & -8 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 51 & 23 & 19 & 51 & 23 & 19
\end{array}
\]
concentrated in the high-frequency subimage.\textsuperscript{21} Thus, the energy ratio ($E_r$) in the low-frequency subimage was calculated to investigate the coarse features of an image, given by

$$E_r = \frac{E_{LL}}{E_{LL} + E_{LH} + E_{HL} + E_{HH}} \times 100\%,$$

(5)

where $E_{LL}$, $E_{LH}$, $E_{HL}$, and $E_{HH}$ represent the energy ($E$) in LL, LH, HL, and HH subimages, respectively. The energy ratio ($E_r$) is higher in images with coarse features and lower for those with thin or complex features.

\subsection*{2.3.3 Combination of local difference local binary pattern and wavelet transform}

To simultaneously obtain the homogeneity, directional and coarse features, LD-LBP and wavelet transform were combined for analysis. The steps of the algorithm are given as follows:

Step 1: Transform the original SHG image (512 $\times$ 512 pixels) into a grayscale image.

Step 2: Encode the grayscale image by LD-LBP, and calculate LD-LBP variance (VAR) according to Eq. (2).

Step 3: Encode the LD-LBP image by wavelet transform, and calculate the energy ($E_{LL}$, $E_{LH}$, $E_{HL}$, and $E_{HH}$) in each subimage and energy ratio ($E_r$) in the low-frequency subimage according to Eqs. (4) and (5).

Step 4: Combine the above texture parameters to compose the characteristic vector of each image: $[\text{VAR}, E_{LL}, E_{LH}, E_{HL}, E_{HH}, E_r]$.

Step 5: Employ Gaussian normalization\textsuperscript{22} to avoid deviation caused by the different physical meaning and the range of each texture parameter. Supposing that the initial characteristic vector is $[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n]$, the normalized characteristic vector $[F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n]$ is calculated by $F_i = (F_i' + 1)/2$, where $F_i' = (f_i - \bar{e})/\sigma$ ($\bar{e}$ is the mean, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the initial characteristic vector).

\subsection*{2.4 Classification}

An SVM classifier was used to test the ability of the texture parameters to classify the SHG images into normal or abnormal.\textsuperscript{23-25} Given a training sample set $[(x_i, y_i)]_i^{n}$, where $x_i$ denotes the training vector, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y_i$ denote the corresponding class label, $y_i \in \{1, -1\}$, and $n$ denotes the total number of the training sample. SVM finds the solution of the following optimization problem

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} (w \cdot w) + C\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i,$$

subject to: $y_i(\langle w \cdot x_i \rangle + b) + \xi_i - 1 \geq 0$.

where $C$ is a penalty parameter of the error term, $\xi_i$ is the non-negative slack variable, $w$ is the normal vector of the hyperplane, and $b$ is the offset of the hyperplane. Then, SVM finds the linearly separating hyperplane with the maximal marginal in a higher dimensional space. A kernel function $K(x_i, x_j) = \varphi(x_i)^T \varphi(x_j)$ is used to map the training sample into a higher dimensional feature space. In our study, the radial basis function was chosen as the kernel of the SVM.

Moreover, the LOOCV procedure was used to address the drawback of the small-sized sample.\textsuperscript{26,27} Each time one single sample was considered as the validation data and the other samples were considered as the training data. In this work, we have a total of 60 scar collagen SHG images (30 normal and 30 abnormal). The procedure was repeated 60 times until every sample was used as the validation data.

\subsection*{2.5 Statistical Analysis}

For statistical analysis, a total of 30 normal and 30 abnormal scar collagen SHG images were measured for texture analysis. Statistical analyses were performed by using the MATLAB\textsuperscript{®} (version 2010b) software. Quantitative data were presented as a mean value with its standard deviation indicated (mean $\pm$ SD) and compared using the Student’s $t$-test analysis. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when the $p$-values were less than 0.05.

\section*{3 Results}

\subsection*{3.1 Collagen Second-Harmonic Generation Images of Human Skin Scars}

Representative high-resolution collagen SHG images from human normal and abnormal scars are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. This presents a great difference in the morphological structure of the collagen between the normal and abnormal scars. As we can see from Fig. 2(a), large and

![Fig. 2 Collagen second-harmonic generation (SHG) images in human (a) normal and (b) abnormal scars. Images are 512 $\times$ 512 pixels.](https://nanolithography.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/016021-3-016021-3-Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics-016021-3-Collagen-second-harmonic-generation-images-in-human-normal-and-abnormal-scars)
coarse collagen bundles with a well-regulated distribution and well-defined orientation in the normal scar are well identified. In contrast, a less organized and disordered collagen structure characterized by thinner but more complex fibril bundles are clearly seen in the abnormal scar [Fig. 2(b)]. All these observations are well consistent with the previous description of normal or abnormal scars.28,29

3.2 Quantification of Collagen in Human Skin Scars

In order to quantitatively characterize the collagen morphology in normal and abnormal scars such as collagen distribution, collagen orientation, and collagen coarseness, we applied detailed texture analysis based on LD-LBP and wavelet transform to collagen SHG images. Texture parameters including homogeneity, directional and coarse features of SHG images were extracted. Demonstrated in Fig. 3 is the decomposition process of the collagen SHG images, including an original collagen SHG image of normal scar [Fig. 3(a)], the corresponding LD-LBP transformed image in gray scale [Fig. 3(b)], and the four subimages by one-level wavelet transform decomposed from the LD-LBP image [Fig. 3(c)].

3.2.1 Homogeneity feature

Figure 4 presents the LD-LBP variances of collagen SHG images from normal and abnormal scars, showing a much smaller value of normal scar SHG images compared to abnormal scar SHG images (0.04 ± 0.01 versus 0.55 ± 0.35; p < 0.05). Quantitatively analyzed result indicates that the normal scars have a more homogeneous collagen morphology than the abnormal scars.

3.2.2 Directional and coarse features

Figure 5 gives the energy values in each subimage on both normal and abnormal scar SHG images. By comparing energy values in each subimage, it can be seen that the energy is mainly concentrated in the LL subimage compared to LH, HL, or HH subimage, for both normal (2.16 ± 0.09 versus 0.44 ± 0.03, 0.26 ± 0.04, or 0.20 ± 0.03; p < 0.05) and abnormal scar collagen SHG images (1.72 ± 0.11 versus 0.69 ± 0.09, 0.32 ± 0.02, or 0.18 ± 0.02; p < 0.05). Moreover, texture details are mainly distributed in the LH subimage and less distributed in HL or HH subimages for both normal (0.44 ± 0.03 versus 0.26 ± 0.04, or 0.20 ± 0.03; p < 0.05) and abnormal scar collagen SHG images (0.69 ± 0.09 versus 0.32 ± 0.02, or 0.18 ± 0.02; p < 0.05).

Energy ratios in the low-frequency subimage are depicted in Fig. 6. This clearly shows that energy ratios in the low-frequency subimage of normal scar SHG images are higher than those of abnormal scar SHG images (70.55 ± 1.93% versus 59.27 ± 4.66%; p < 0.05). These statistical results are consistent with the observation that the collagen arrangement within normal scars is ordered and coarser compared to abnormal scars.

3.3 Optimal Wavelet and Decomposition Level

Table 1 describes the accuracy of the SVM classifier in the LOOCV procedure based on texture parameters of LD-LBP
combined with Haar, Daubechies, Symlets, and Morlet wavelet transform. As can be seen from the table, the overall accuracy of the SVM classifier is relatively higher when LD-LBP is combined with the Haar wavelet. Moreover, Haar wavelet is fast in speed and describes detailed features,\textsuperscript{30} which were adopted in this study.

Listed in Table 2 is the accuracy of the SVM classifier in the LOOCV procedure based on texture parameters of LD-LBP combined with one-level, two-level, and three-level Haar wavelet transform. It can be observed that the SVM classifier has an overall accuracy of 90.0% when LD-LBP is combined with one-level Haar wavelet. The accuracy drops to 73.3% and 71.7% when a two-level or three-level Haar wavelet was used. Therefore, a one-level Haar wavelet transform was selected in this analysis.

3.4 Comparison with Other Methods

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method for scar discrimination, the SVM classifier in the LOOCV procedure was trained and applied to classify collagen SHG images of normal and abnormal scar tissues using GLCM, Haar wavelet transform, basic LBP combined with Haar wavelet transform, and the proposed method combining LD-LBP and Haar wavelet transform texture parameters, respectively. Additionally, a multipurpose image classifier called WND-CHARM was also used for comparison.\textsuperscript{31} Results are shown in Table 3. Using the GLCM,\textsuperscript{32,33} four texture features including contrast, correlation, energy, and homogeneity were extracted from the scar collagen SHG images and analyzed using the texture average of four directions (0 deg, 45 deg, 90 deg, 135 deg) with distance, \(d = 10\). It can be seen from Table 3 that the SVM classifier has a higher accuracy when combined LD-LBP and Haar wavelet transform parameters were included in the classification model, while a lower accuracy is obtained when GLCM or Haar wavelet transform was independently used, respectively. The basic LBP combined with a Haar wavelet transform does not give a satisfactory performance for distinguishing abnormal scars from normal scars. In addition, WND-CHARM was less effective than the proposed method specifically designed for texture classification of scar SHG images.

Figure 7 compares the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves\textsuperscript{34} with the LOOCV procedure generated by texture parameters from the GLCM, Haar wavelet transform, basic LBP + Haar wavelet transform, and LD-LBP + Haar wavelet transform. The area under the ROC curve is 0.96 for texture parameters (LD-LBP + Haar), 0.75 for texture parameters (basic LBP + Haar), 0.59 for Haar wavelet parameters, and 0.63 for GLCM parameters.
method. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) is a measure of the overall diagnostic performance.\textsuperscript{35} It is seen from Fig. 7 that the accuracy of the SVM classifier, as represented by the AUC, is determined to be 0.96 when LD-LBP was combined with Haar wavelet transform parameters for classification. These values drop to 0.75, 0.63, and 0.59 when the combined basic LBP and Haar wavelet transform parameters, the GLCM parameters or the Haar wavelet transform parameters were independently used, respectively. The diagonal line $y = x$ is the expected ROC curve of a random guesser (with AUC = 0.5).

4 Discussion
In this paper, the combination of LD-LBP and wavelet transform was proposed to characterize the homogeneity, directional and coarse features in SHG images of human skin abnormal scars distinguished from normal scars. First, using the LD-LBP variance, we quantitatively evaluated the collagen distribution within normal and abnormal scar tissues. The comparison of the LD-LBP variances of normal and abnormal scar collagen SHG images (Fig. 4) demonstrated that the texture distribution in the normal scar SHG images was more homogeneous compared to abnormal scar SHG images. This verified the point that the distribution of the collagen in normal scars is better-regulated than that of abnormal scars, supported by the observations in collagen SHG images from normal and abnormal scars (Fig. 2). Second, to investigate the differences in the collagen orientation or coarseness between normal and abnormal scars, we calculated energy values of each subimage (Fig. 5) and energy ratios in the low-frequency subimages of SHG images (Fig. 6). The results indicated that normal scar SHG images had more ordered and coarser textures compared to the abnormal scar SHG images. The provided interpretation supported the previous reports that the collagen fibers in normal scars are better oriented and coarser than those of abnormal scars.\textsuperscript{12,28,36} In addition, to determine the optimal wavelet and decomposition level, we evaluated the texture parameters of LD-LBP combined with Haar, Daubechies, Symlets, and Morlet wavelet (Table 1), and one-level, two-level, and three-level Haar wavelet transform via the SVM classifier with the LOOCV procedure (Table 2). Based on the data presented in Tables 1 and 2, it was quite evident that the one-level Haar wavelet achieved the highest accuracy.

On the other hand, the accuracy of the SVM classifier based on texture parameters extracted from the proposed method that combined LD-LBP and Haar wavelet transform was compared to other methods including GLCM, Haar wavelet transform, the basic LBP combined with Haar wavelet transform, and WND-CHARM (Table 3). Overall, the SVM classifier using the texture parameters from the proposed method gave better accuracy than WND-CHARM, GLCM, Haar wavelet transform, or the basic LBP combined with Haar wavelet. Finally, the ROC analysis further evaluated the performance of the proposed method (Fig. 7). The ROC curve based on LD-LBP combined with Haar wavelet transform parameters achieved the highest AUC values (AUC = 0.96). This indicates that our methodology is effective in differentiating abnormal scars from normal scars. It may enable a better understanding of the pathophysiology of scars. Texture analysis for quantifying scar collagen morphology is a work in progress and shows feasibility in scar diagnosis. We have reason to believe that it may provide a valuable tool for studying scars.

5 Conclusion
In conclusion, we have demonstrated the feasibility of quantitative discrimination between human normal and abnormal scars based on texture analysis combining LD-LBP and Haar wavelet transform derived from SHG images. The homogeneity, directional and coarse features that are associated with the collagen morphology in scars demonstrated the differences between normal and abnormal scar SHG images. Using the SVM classifier with the LOOCV procedure and ROC analysis, the accuracy was demonstrated to be improved with combined LD-LBP and Haar wavelet transform parameters compared to the case when the GLCM, the Haar wavelet transform or the basic LBP combined with Haar wavelet parameters were independently used. Although our study is only a proof of concept with a limited sample size, its implication is that the texture analysis of SHG images could have clinical potential in differentiating abnormal scars from normal scars, and can also help plastic surgeons and dermatologists to identify optimal therapeutic options to cure scars.
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